***GNOSI:* An Interdisciplinary Journal of Human Theory and Praxis**
Volume 8, Issue 2, March (Special Issue), 2025
ISSN (Online): 2714-2485

# Death, Personhood, and the Hope of Resurrection: A Christian Philosophical Inquiry

**WELIKINSI**
Sekolah Tinggi Teologi Immanuel Sintang,
Sungai Ukoi, Kec. Sungai Tebelian,
Kabupaten Sintang, Kalimantan Barat (78661), Indonesia
*Email*: welikinsi@gmail.com

**I Ketut Gede SUPARTA**
Sekolah Tinggi Teologi Simpson Ungaran,
Jl. Agung No. 66., Krajan, Susukan, Ungaran Timur
Semarang, Jawa Tengah (50526), Indonesia
*Email*: ketutsuparta@sttsimpson.ac.id

(**Received**: January-2025; **Accepted**: March -2025; Available **Online**: March-2025)


**ABSTRACT**

This paper explores the Christian philosophical understanding of death and personhood, arguing that the doctrine of bodily resurrection provides a coherent metaphysical and existential framework distinct from secular thanatology. Whereas modern philosophical approaches often reduce death to a biological endpoint or conceptualize immortality through materialist means (e.g., transhumanism), Christianity affirms that human persons—as bearers of the *imago Dei*—are destined for eschatological renewal. Drawing from Scripture, classical theology (Augustine, Aquinas), and contemporary analytic philosophy (N.T. Wright, Richard Swinburne), this study examines three core themes: (1) the ontological grounding of personhood in divine creation and redemption, (2) the paradox of death as both a consequence of sin and a conquered enemy through Christ's resurrection, and (3) the logical and metaphysical coherence of bodily resurrection against objections from materialism and dualism. The paper engages with key philosophical challenges, including the "intermediate state" (the condition of the soul between death and resurrection) and the problem of personal identity across temporal discontinuity. It contrasts Christian hope with secular immortality projects, demonstrating how resurrection avoids the pitfalls of disembodied spiritualism and biological reductionism. Finally, the study highlights the pastoral and ethical implications of resurrection belief, showing how it transforms grief, moral agency, and the pursuit of justice. By synthesizing theology and philosophy, this paper offers a robust Christian alternative to contemporary discourses on death, asserting that personhood, even in mortality, is eternally significant.

**Keywords:** Resurrection; Personhood; Christian Thanatology; *Imago Dei*.

## INTRODUCTION

The philosophical study of death, or *thanatology*, has long been dominated by secular perspectives that frame mortality as an existential boundary marking the absolute cessation of personhood (Heidegger, 1927/1962). Modern discourse often approaches death through biological reductionism, where human life is equated with material processes that terminate at bodily decay (Nagel, 1979). This view, prevalent in analytic philosophy, tends to treat death as an impersonal event, devoid of transcendent meaning (Feldman, 1992). Even existentialist approaches, such as those of Camus (1942) and Sartre (1943), while acknowledging death's psychological impact, ultimately present it as an absurd terminus in a purposeless universe. Within this framework, concepts of postmortem survival—whether through digital consciousness preservation (Kurzweil, 2005) or genetic legacy (Dawkins, 1976)—remain firmly immanent, rejecting metaphysical possibilities beyond empirical verification.

In contrast, the Christian tradition offers a radical reconceptualization of death, not as annihilation but as a *transition* within a divinely ordained narrative (Wright, 2003). Rooted in Scripture, this perspective asserts that death, though a consequence of human sin (Genesis 3:19; Romans 5:12), has been decisively overcome through Christ's resurrection (1 Corinthians 15:54–55). Where secular thanatology sees an endpoint, Christianity posits a *liminal passage*: the body returns to dust, yet the person—constituted by soul and, ultimately, a resurrected body—persists in relation to God (John 11:25–26; Revelation 21:4). This teleological view challenges materialist assumptions by affirming that human identity is neither reducible to neural activity (Swinburne, 1997) nor erased by biological death.

Central to this distinction is the Christian doctrine of *personhood*. Unlike Lockean psychological continuity theories (Locke, 1689/1975) or Parfit's (1984) reductionism, which fragment identity into temporal stages, Christian anthropology grounds personhood in the *imago Dei* (Genesis 1:27)—a theological claim that humans are ontologically significant because they reflect God's nature (Jeeves & Brown, 2009). This imago entails moral agency, relationality, and an eternal destiny (Psalm 8:5; Hebrews 2:7), transcending mere biological or cognitive criteria. Personhood, then, is neither an emergent property of matter (Churchland, 1981) nor a transient mental construct, but a *sacred continuity* sustained by divine fiat.

The implications of this view are profound. If death is a transition rather than extinction, then grief, ethics, and even medical practice must be reinterpreted (Sulmasy, 2006). The martyrs' hope in antiquity (e.g., Ignatius of Antioch's *Letter to the Romans*), the medieval *ars moriendi* ("art of dying") traditions, and modern hospice care all reflect this paradigm, where death is neither trivialized nor fetishized but situated within a cosmic drama of redemption.

This paper argues that Christian personhood is grounded in divine creation, redemption, and resurrection**,** offering a robust alternative to secular thanatology. First, it examines the *theological foundations* of personhood, contrasting the *imago Dei* with materialist and dualist accounts. Second, it analyzes death as a *defeated enemy* through Christ's resurrection, addressing objections to bodily resurrection (e.g., the "reassembly problem" raised by van Inwagen, 1978). Third, it explores the *existential and ethical consequences* of resurrection hope, demonstrating its practical relevance in confronting mortality.

The methodology integrates:

1. **Biblical exegesis** (e.g., Pauline thanatology in 1 Corinthians 15),
2. **Historical theology** (e.g., Augustine's *City of God* on the resurrection body),
3. **Analytic philosophy of religion** (e.g., Swinburne's soul-theory vs. Baker's constitution view), and

4. **Pastoral theology** (e.g., how resurrection hope shapes end-of-life care).

By engaging these disciplines, the paper demonstrates that Christian thanatology does not evade death's harsh reality but recontextualizes it within a narrative of *creational goodness, fallen rupture, and eschatological restoration*. Where secular thought reaches an aporia—such as the "hard problem" of consciousness (Chalmers, 1996) or the paradox of personal identity—Christian theology provides a teleological resolution: the God who created persons will re-create them, ensuring that death is not the final word.

## THEOLOGICAL FOUNDATIONS OF PERSONHOOD

The Christian understanding of personhood is fundamentally rooted in the doctrine of the *imago Dei*, the belief that human beings are created in the image and likeness of God (Genesis 1:26–27). This concept distinguishes human identity from purely materialist or reductionist views, which define personhood in terms of biological functions or psychological continuity (Jeeves & Brown, 2009). Unlike secular philosophies that often reduce humans to their cognitive or physical properties, the *imago Dei* suggests an intrinsic dignity that transcends empirical measurement. This theological framework asserts that personhood is not merely an emergent property of matter but a divinely bestowed reality, making human life sacred from conception to natural death (Sulmasy, 2006). The implications of this belief extend beyond metaphysics, shaping ethical discourse on issues such as abortion, euthanasia, and human rights, where the sanctity of life is upheld as inviolable (O'Donovan, 1984).

Historically, Christian theologians have debated the precise meaning of the *imago Dei*, with some emphasizing humanity's rational capacity (Augustine, *De Trinitate*), others highlighting moral agency (Calvin, *Institutes*), and still others focusing on relationality (Barth, *Church Dogmatics*). Augustine, for instance, argued that the image of God is most clearly reflected in the human mind's ability to remember, understand, and love God (Augustine, c. 420/1991). Thomas Aquinas expanded this view, synthesizing Aristotelian philosophy with Christian theology to propose that the soul—the form of the body—enables humans to participate in divine reason (*Summa Theologiae*, I.75.2). These classical perspectives resist modern tendencies to fragment the human person into disjointed components, instead affirming a holistic unity of body and soul that survives death and anticipates resurrection (Cooper, 2000).

A critical challenge to this view comes from materialist philosophies, which deny the existence of an immaterial soul and instead equate personhood with brain function (Churchland, 1981). Daniel Dennett (1991), for example, dismisses the soul as a "ghost in the machine," arguing that consciousness is entirely reducible to neural processes. Similarly, Derek Parfit (1984) deconstructs personal identity into psychological continuity, suggesting that the self is an illusion sustained by memory and perception. These reductionist approaches, however, struggle to account for the enduring sense of "I" that persists despite physical and psychological changes (Swinburne, 1997). Christian anthropology, by contrast, maintains that personhood is grounded in a divinely sustained identity that remains intact even in death, awaiting resurrection (1 Corinthians 15:42–44).

The *imago Dei* also carries eschatological significance, pointing toward humanity's ultimate restoration in Christ. The New Testament teaches that while the image of God was marred by the Fall, it is being renewed through redemption (Colossians 3:10; Romans 8:29). This transformative process culminates in the resurrection, where believers will be fully conformed to the image of Christ (1 John 3:2). N.T. Wright (2003) argues that this future hope is not a disembodied immortality but a physical resurrection, echoing Christ's own glorified body. Such a vision counters Platonic dualism, which denigrates the material world, and instead affirms the goodness of embodied existence as part of God's original design (Murphy, 2006).

Philosophically, the Christian view of personhood engages with contemporary debates in the mind-body problem. Substance dualism, as defended by Richard Swinburne (1997), posits that the soul is a distinct entity from the body, capable of surviving death. Critics, however, argue that dualism fails to explain how an immaterial soul interacts with a physical body (Kim, 2005). Alternative models, such as Thomistic hylomorphism (Stump, 2003) or non-reductive physicalism (Murphy, 2006), seek to bridge the gap by affirming the soul's functional role without positing ontological separation. These approaches align with biblical language about the unity of human nature while still allowing for interim existence after death (Luke 23:43; 2 Corinthians 5:8).

The doctrine of the *imago Dei* also challenges utilitarian ethics, which often reduces persons to their functional value (Singer, 1993). If humans are merely sentient organisms, then criteria such as rationality or self-awareness could justify excluding certain groups (e.g., the unborn, the cognitively disabled) from full moral status. Christian ethics, however, insists that personhood is inherent, not contingent on abilities or productivity (O'Donovan, 1984). This conviction has historically driven the Church's opposition to infanticide, slavery, and euthanasia, as seen in the early Christian writings of the *Didache* and Lactantius (*Divine Institutes*).

The resurrection of Jesus serves as the linchpin of Christian personhood, demonstrating that God's plan includes bodily restoration (1 Corinthians 15:20–22). Paul's analogy of the "sown" and "raised" body (1 Corinthians 15:42–44) suggests both continuity and transformation, addressing the problem of personal identity across temporal discontinuity (van Inwagen, 1978). Critics argue that resurrection is metaphysically impossible—how can a decayed body be reassembled? Yet Aquinas (*Summa Contra Gentiles*, IV.79–81) and modern thinkers like Zimmerman (1999) propose that God can recreate the person through a "body blueprint" grounded in divine omniscience.

Ultimately, the Christian vision of personhood offers a coherent alternative to secular thanatology, uniting creation, redemption, and resurrection into a unified narrative. Where materialism sees only decay, Christianity sees a story of renewal; where existentialism finds despair, it finds hope. This framework does not evade death's reality but reinterprets it as a defeated enemy (1 Corinthians 15:26), ensuring that personhood, grounded in God's eternal purpose, is never erased.

## DEATH AS A DEFEATED ENEMY: THE RESURRECTION HOPE

The Christian narrative fundamentally reconfigures the meaning of death by framing it as a conquered adversary rather than an invincible terminus. This perspective emerges most clearly in Paul's triumphant declaration: "Death has been swallowed up in victory" (1 Corinthians 15:54, NIV), a statement that encapsulates the theological conviction that Christ's resurrection has irrevocably altered death's power. Unlike secular existentialist approaches that view death as the ultimate absurdity (Camus, 1942) or as a natural biological closure (Dawkins, 1976), the Christian tradition asserts that death is an unnatural intrusion into God's good creation - the "last enemy" (1 Corinthians 15:26) that will ultimately be destroyed. This dramatic reimagining of mortality stems from the historical event of Christ's resurrection, which early Christians understood not as mere spiritual survival but as the firstfruits of a cosmic renewal (Wright, 2003). The empty tomb thus becomes the hermeneutical key for interpreting all human mortality, transforming grief from despair to hopeful anticipation (1 Thessalonians 4:13-18).

The New Testament's treatment of death operates within a robust eschatological framework that resists both Greek philosophical dualism and modern materialist reductionism. When Jesus confronts Martha's grief at Lazarus's death (John 11:23-26), He redirects her attention beyond immediate biological cessation to the promised resurrection, asserting "I am the resurrection and the life." This exchange reveals the distinctive

Christian tension between acknowledging death's present reality while simultaneously proclaiming its provisional nature. The early church's resurrection hope stood in stark contrast to prevailing Greco-Roman thanatologies, where postmortem existence (if acknowledged at all) involved shadowy, disembodied shades in Hades (Bynum, 1995). Paul's extended treatment in 1 Corinthians 15 demonstrates how thoroughly the resurrection hope reshaped Christian engagement with mortality, as he connects Christ's victory over death to the future bodily resurrection of believers, creating an unbreakable link between Christology and Christian thanatology.

The doctrine of bodily resurrection presents unique philosophical challenges that have been engaged by theologians across centuries. One persistent objection - the "reassembly problem" - questions how a resurrection body could maintain identity with the pre-mortem person given the dispersal and decomposition of physical remains (van Inwagen, 1978). Patristic thinkers like Athenagoras (2nd century CE) and Augustine (5th century CE) addressed this concern by appealing to divine omnipotence's ability to reconstitute persons while maintaining essential identity (On the Resurrection of the Dead; City of God XXII). Contemporary philosophers like Dean Zimmerman (1999) have refined these arguments through "falling elevator" models where God preserves a "body blueprint" at death. More recently, Lynne Baker's (2007) constitution personhood theory offers a framework where personal identity persists through God's sustaining power rather than material continuity alone. These philosophical defenses demonstrate that resurrection belief need not retreat into irrational fideism but can engage substantively with metaphysics of personal identity.

The intermediate state - the period between individual death and general resurrection - presents another theological-philosophical nexus requiring careful navigation. Biblical passages suggesting conscious existence after death (Luke 23:43; 2 Corinthians 5:8; Revelation 6:9-11) have traditionally supported the notion of the soul's survival, though interpretations vary widely across Christian traditions. Aquinas's hylomorphic account (Summa Theologiae I.75-76) posits that the rational soul, as the body's subsistent form, maintains personal identity until reunited with a glorified body. Protestant reformers like Luther initially rejected the intermediate state as unbiblical (in his early writings), while contemporary theologians like N.T. Wright (2003) caution against over-literalizing Pauline "with Christ" language. These debates reveal how Christian thanatology must balance biblical affirmations of postmortem continuity with the primacy of bodily resurrection, avoiding both Gnostic disembodiment and materialist annihilationism.

The practical implications of resurrection hope transform how Christians approach mortality, grief, and medical ethics. Early Christian funeral practices, as evidenced in the catacomb inscriptions and patristic homilies, celebrated death days as "birthdays" into eternal life (Brown, 1981). This stands in stark contrast to modern Western death denial, where mortality is medicalized and hidden. The Christian practice of dying well (ars moriendi) cultivated through centuries - from Augustine's meditations on his mother's death (Confessions IX) to Jeremy Taylor's Holy Dying (1651) - demonstrates how resurrection hope enables honest confrontation with mortality's sting while anchoring grief in expectant hope. Contemporary applications emerge in Christian hospice care, where the combination of palliative medicine and spiritual care allows patients to face death as part of their discipleship journey (Sulmasy, 2006). The resurrection hope thus generates a distinctive thanatological praxis that neither flees from death's reality nor capitulates to its finality.

## RESURRECTION VS. SECULAR IMMORTALITY PROJECTS

The Christian doctrine of bodily resurrection presents a radical alternative to contemporary secular visions of immortality, which typically seek to overcome death through technological or biological means. Transhumanist movements, for example, advocate for radical life extension through cryonics, mind uploading, or cybernetic enhancement (Kurzweil, 2005), framing death as a technical problem to be solved rather than a theological reality to be redeemed. These projects often assume a materialist anthropology that reduces human identity to information patterns that can theoretically be preserved or replicated (Bostrom, 2008). However, such approaches fail to account for the holistic biblical view of human personhood as an embodied soul destined for transformation rather than preservation (1 Corinthians 15:51-53). Where secular immortality projects attempt to indefinitely prolong biological life or create digital facsimiles of consciousness, Christian resurrection hope affirms that true eternal life comes not from human technological mastery but from divine recreation (Revelation 21:5).

Philosophically, the contrast between resurrection and technological immortality emerges most sharply in their respective treatments of personal identity. Derek Parfit's (1984) reductionist account, which undergirds many transhumanist proposals, argues that identity consists merely in psychological continuity, allowing for the possibility that a person could survive through replicated memories and traits in another medium. This view leads to counterintuitive scenarios where multiple copies could claim to be the "same" person, highlighting the inadequacy of pattern-based identity theories (Olson, 1997). The Christian understanding of resurrection, by contrast, maintains strict identity between the mortal and resurrected self through God's sustaining power (Romans 8:11), avoiding the duplication paradox while affirming bodily continuity. The resurrected Christ's physicality (Luke 24:39-43) and recognition by disciples demonstrate this continuity-in-transformation model that secular immortality projects cannot replicate.

Ethically, the pursuit of technological immortality raises significant concerns about inequality and human purpose. The enormous resource demands of life-extension technologies would likely create a new form of stratification between the "technologically immortal" wealthy elite and ordinary mortals (Agar, 2010), exacerbating existing social injustices. Moreover, the implicit assumption that biological life should be indefinitely prolonged conflicts with the Christian understanding that earthly life finds its meaning precisely because it is finite and oriented toward something greater (Philippians 1:21-23). Christian martyrdom traditions—from the early church to Dietrich Bonhoeffer—demonstrate how resurrection hope can liberate believers from both the fear of death and the desperate clinging to biological life, enabling courageous witness (Yoder, 1994). Where transhumanism seeks to eliminate mortality through human effort, Christianity accepts mortality while trusting in God's power to overcome it through resurrection.

Theological anthropology further challenges secular immortality projects by affirming the goodness of finitude. Human creatures, as temporal beings, were never meant to be self-sufficient or permanent but to find their fulfillment in relationship with the eternal God (Augustine, Confessions I.1). Attempts to achieve immortality through technology reflect the same pride that led to humanity's fall (Genesis 3:22-24)—the desire to "be like God" on human terms. The Christian path, by contrast, embraces creaturely limits while awaiting God's gift of eternal life (John 3:16). This distinction carries pastoral significance: where transhumanism fosters anxiety about maintaining control over one's existence, Christian hope offers peace through surrender to God's greater purposes (Matthew 10:39).

Ultimately, the resurrection hope provides what secular immortality projects cannot—a vision of eternal life that preserves personal identity, transforms rather than discards the body, and comes as grace rather than human achievement. As Paul reminds the

Corinthians, the perishable must “put on imperishability” (1 Corinthians 15:53) through divine action, not technological manipulation. This theological vision sustains a hopeful yet realistic engagement with mortality that neither denies death's reality nor capitulates to its finality.

**DEATH, GRIEF, AND CHRISTIAN HOPE**

The Christian confrontation with death produces a distinctive approach to grief that neither suppresses mourning's natural pain nor surrenders to despair. Unlike Stoic detachment or modern therapeutic cultures that often pathologize prolonged grief, the New Testament records Jesus' own tears at Lazarus' tomb (John 11:35) while simultaneously affirming resurrection hope. This paradoxical stance—what Augustine called the “moderate grief” (*City of God* XIX.8) of those who sorrow yet not “as others do who have no hope” (1 Thessalonians 4:13)—creates a theological space where death's tragedy is fully acknowledged yet enveloped within God's redemptive narrative. Early Christian epitaphs like “In Christo” (In Christ) and “Dormitio” (Sleeping) materialized this hope in funeral practices, rejecting pagan despair while avoiding Gnostic denial of death's bodily reality (Bodel, 2019). The Christian grammar of grief thus transforms mourning into an act of faithful witness, where tears and hope intermingle at the grave.

This theology of grief finds profound expression in Christian liturgical traditions. The Requiem Mass's “Dies Irae” (Day of Wrath) sequence juxtaposes judgment and mercy, while Eastern Orthodox memorial services proclaim “Christ is risen!” amid prayers for the departed. These rituals neither trivialize death nor treat it as private psychological trauma but situate individual loss within the cosmic drama of Christ's victory (Schmemann, 1973). Modern pastoral care research confirms the therapeutic value of such ritual frameworks, demonstrating how communities that maintain meaningful death rituals exhibit lower rates of complicated grief (Neimeyer & Sands, 2011). The Christian practice of “the communion of saints”—believers on earth and heaven united in Christ—further reconfigures bereavement by maintaining relational bonds that transcend biological cessation (Hebrews 12:1). Where secular modernity struggles to articulate any meaningful continuation of relationships after death, Christian hope sustains the paradox that the departed are simultaneously “absent from the body and present with the Lord” (2 Corinthians 5:8).

The resurrection hope also recalibrates medical ethics at life's end. Early Christian opposition to Roman infant exposure and physician-assisted suicide (Tertullian, *Apology* 9) stemmed not from vitalism but from eschatological stewardship of the body destined for resurrection. Contemporary Christian bioethicists like Farr Curlin (2019) extend this tradition by advocating palliative care that neither artificially prolongs dying nor hastens death but accompanies sufferers in hope. The “hospice movement” founded by Cicely Saunders—deeply shaped by her Christian faith—embodies this approach, treating dying as a spiritual passage rather than a medical failure (Saunders, 2003). Such practices resist both the modern “medicalization of mortality” that treats death as an enemy to be fought at all costs and the “euthanasia impulse” that treats suffering as meaningless (Sulmasy, 2022).

Theodicy questions inevitably arise in Christian grief, particularly regarding “untimely” deaths. Augustine's free will defense (*On Free Choice of the Will* III) and Irenaeus' soul-making theodicy (*Against Heresies* IV.37-39) provide frameworks for understanding death's persistence in a creation groaning for redemption (Romans 8:22). Yet the New Testament's pastoral response to grief focuses less on philosophical justification than on embodied consolation—Christ's tears at Bethany, Paul's exhortations to “weep with those who weep” (Romans 12:15), and Revelation's vision of God wiping away every

tear (Revelation 21:4). This emphasis on divine empathy transforms grief from a problem to be solved into a sacred space where God meets the brokenhearted (Psalm 34:18).

Ultimately, Christian hope does not erase grief's sharpness but transfigures its meaning. As Julian of Norwich received in her revelations: "All shall be well, and all shall be well, and all manner of thing shall be well" (*Revelations of Divine Love*, Ch. 27)—not through denial of suffering but through its incorporation into Christ's resurrection. This hope sustains martyrs facing execution, parents burying children, and patients confronting terminal diagnoses, not with easy answers but with the defiant assurance that death's story does not end in the grave.

## CONCLUSION

This study has demonstrated how the Christian vision of death and personhood offers a transformative alternative to secular thanatologies. Where materialist philosophies reduce death to biological cessation and personhood to cognitive function, Christian theology affirms the enduring significance of human life as created in God's image (imago Dei) and destined for resurrection. Through an integration of biblical exegesis, historical theology, and contemporary philosophy, we have traced how the doctrines of creation, redemption, and eschatological hope provide a coherent framework for understanding mortality that neither denies death's reality nor capitulates to its finality.

The resurrection of Jesus Christ emerges as the pivotal event that reconfigures all human dying. As N.T. Wright (2003) has shown, early Christian hope was not about escaping creation but about its renewal—a vision that maintains the goodness of embodied existence while acknowledging its present corruption. This transforms death from an insurmountable boundary into a transitional passage, what Dante called "il gran mar dell'essere" ("the great sea of being") that souls cross toward divinization (Paradiso I.113). Such hope has sustained Christian witness through centuries, from the martyrs of Lyon (177 AD) who faced death singing psalms, to modern hospice workers who accompany the dying with prayer and palliative care.

The implications of this study extend beyond theoretical theology to practical domains. In bioethics, the Christian distinction between allowing natural death and causing death preserves human dignity without idolizing biological existence. In pastoral care, the "moderate grief" tradition offers resources for navigating loss without either despair or denial. In cultural engagement, the Church's counter-narrative challenges both secular death-denial and transhumanist fantasies by proclaiming Christ's victory over the grave.

Future research should further explore how this resurrection hope intersects with neuroscience, artificial intelligence ethics, and global dying practices. As medical technology advances, the Church must articulate why bodily resurrection matters more than digital immortality, and how to die faithfully in an age of life-extension technologies.

Ultimately, this study confirms that Christian thanatology does not provide easy answers to death's mystery, but it does offer something greater—a person. In Christ's empty tomb, we find the assurance that the same God who created human persons will recreate them, ensuring that not even death can separate us from divine love (Romans 8:38-39). This is the hope that has sustained saints and sinners through two millennia—a hope not of escape from mortality, but of its redemption.

## REFERENCES

Agar, N. (2010). *Humanity's end: Why we should reject radical enhancement*. MIT Press.

Augustine. (1984). *City of God* (H. Bettenson, Trans.). Penguin.

Augustine. (1991). *The Trinity* (E. Hill, Trans.). New City Press. (Original work published c. 420)
Augustine. (c. 426/1993). *The City of God* (H. Bettenson, Trans.). Penguin.
Baker, L. R. (2007). *The metaphysics of everyday life*. Cambridge University Press.
Barth, K. (1958). *Church dogmatics* (Vol. III/1). T&T Clark.
Bodel, J. (2019). *Death in ancient Rome: A sourcebook*. Yale University Press.
Bostrom, N. (2008). *Why I want to be a posthuman when I grow up*. Medical Enhancement and Posthumanity, 107-137.
Brown, P. (1981). *The cult of the saints*. University of Chicago Press.
Bynum, C. W. (1995). *The resurrection of the body in Western Christianity, 200-1336*. Columbia University Press.
Calvin, J. (1960). *Institutes of the Christian religion* (F. L. Battles, Trans.). Westminster Press.
Camus, A. (1942). *The myth of Sisyphus*. Gallimard.
Chalmers, D. (1996). *The conscious mind: In search of a fundamental theory*. Oxford University Press.
Churchland, P. M. (1981). *Eliminative materialism and the propositional attitudes*. Journal of Philosophy, 78(2), 67–90.
Cooper, J. W. (2000). *Body, soul, and life everlasting: Biblical anthropology and the monism-dualism debate*. Eerdmans.
Curlin, F. (2019). *The way of medicine: Ethics and the healing profession*. Notre Dame Press.
Dante Alighieri. (1320/2013). *The Divine Comedy* (R. Kirkpatrick, Trans.). Penguin.
Dawkins, R. (1976). *The selfish gene*. Oxford University Press.
Dennett, D. (1991). *Consciousness explained*. Little, Brown.
Feldman, F. (1992). *Confrontations with the reaper: A philosophical study of the nature and value of death*. Oxford University Press.
Heidegger, M. (1962). *Being and time* (J. Macquarrie & E. Robinson, Trans.). Harper & Row. (Original work published 1927)
Kim, J. (2005). *Physicalism, or something near enough*. Princeton University Press.
Kurzweil, R. (2005). *The singularity is near: When humans transcend biology*. Viking.
Murphy, N. (2006). *Bodies and souls, or spirited bodies?* Cambridge University Press.
Nagel, T. (1979). *Mortal questions*. Cambridge University Press.
Neimeyer, R. & Sands, D. (2011). Meaning reconstruction in bereavement: From principles to practice. *Routledge Handbook of Death and Dying*, 595-605.
O'Donovan, O. (1984). *Resurrection and moral order*. InterVarsity Press.
Olson, E. T. (1997). *The human animal: Personal identity without psychology*. Oxford University Press.
Parfit, D. (1984). *Reasons and persons*. Oxford University Press.
Saunders, C. (2003). *Watch with me: Inspiration for a life in hospice care*. Observatory Publications.
Schmemann, A. (1973). *Of water and the Spirit: A liturgical study of baptism*. St. Vladimir's Seminary Press.
Singer, P. (1993). *Practical ethics*. Cambridge University Press.
Stump, E. (2003). *Aquinas*. Routledge.
Sulmasy, D. (2022). *The healer's calling: A spirituality for physicians and other health care professionals*. Paulist Press.
Swinburne, R. (1997). *The evolution of the soul*. Oxford University Press.
Taylor, J. (1651). *The rule and exercises of holy dying*.
van Inwagen, P. (1978). The possibility of resurrection. *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion, 9*(2), 114-121.

Wright, N. T. (2003). *The resurrection of the Son of God*. Fortress Press.
Yoder, J. H. (1994). *The politics of Jesus*. Eerdmans.
Zimmerman, D. (1999). The compatibility of materialism and survival. *Faith and Philosophy, 16*(2), 194-212.
Zimmerman, D. (1999). *The compatibility of materialism and survival*. Faith and Philosophy, 16(2), 194–212.